

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,110	11/09/2001	Kurt Polzhofer	0288-025P/JAB	5375
22831 75	590 04/07/2003			
SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP			EXAMINER	
292 MADISON NEW YORK, N	NAVENUE - 19th FLO NY 10017	OOR	STULTZ, JESSICA T	
	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* v*		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>i</i> f		
•		10/038,110	POLZHOFER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jessica T Stultz	2873			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If solution - Failu - Any r earner	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuticely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on					
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	nis action is non-final.				
2a)[☐	/		n proposition on to the morite	ia		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			15		
· _	Claim(s) 22-47 is/are pending in the application	on.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22-27,31-43 and 47</u> is/are rejected.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[]	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ ,= ,==	oproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
, –	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
• –	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Appli	cation No			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		on).		
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been	received.	,		
Attachment			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2) X Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to for minor informalities. The proposed drawing changes are described in the attached form number 948. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 26 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 26, it is unclear as to what the phrase "and/or" defines as a limitation in the claim. It is suggested that applicant change the claim to state "with a main chain or a side chain of the base material of the contact lens, or both" (this being the assumed meaning for purposes of examination).

Regarding claim 47, it is unclear as to what claim this claims depends since there is no claim "55". It is assumed to depend from claim 38 (this being the assumed meaning for purposes of examination). Further clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2873

Claims 22-25, 27, and 31-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al in view of Friends et al US patent No. 4,663,409.

Regarding claim 22 and 24, Watanabe et al discloses a hydrogel contact lens having a base material comprising copolymerized monomers, which are modified with betaine (Column 20, line 29-Column 21, line 47), but does not specifically disclose that the monomers are modified with at least one amino acid. However, Friends et al teaches that amino acids, specifically either glycine, proline, glutamine, alanine, arganine, asparagine, lysine, leucine, serine or isoleucine (Column 3, lines 21-33) are commonly used in polymeric compositions, such as being cross-linked with hydroxyethyl methacrylate (Column 2, lines 5-33), which can be used to make contact lenses, to increase the water content and oxygen permeability without significantly changing other properties of the polymer (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the hydrogel contact lens of Watanabe et al to further include that the base monomers be modified with at least one amino acid since Friends et al teaches that amino acids are commonly used in polymeric compositions, such as being cross-linked with hydroxyethyl methacrylate, which can be used to make contact lenses, to increase the water content and oxygen permeability without significantly changing other properties of the polymer.

Regarding claim 23, it would have been obvious from Watanabe et al that the at least one amino acid is an amino acid occurring in the natural collagen of the cornea, since it is well known in the art of collagen that amino acids are the building blocks of collagen.

Regarding claims 25 and 27, Watanabe et al and Friends et al disclose and teach of the hydrogel contact lens as disclosed above and Friends further teaches the monomer modified by

Art Unit: 2873

the amino acid is methacryloyl amino acid (Column 8 line 64-Column 9, line 13) and that the percentage of amino acid in the modified polymer is 0.5% to 25% by weight (Column 16, lines 30-32). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in that art at the time the invention was made for the hydrogel contact lens of Watanabe et al to further include the modified monomer as methacryloyl amino acid and the percentage of amino acid in the modified polymer be 0.5% to 25% by weight since Friends teaches that the monomer modified by the amino acid is methacryloyl amino acid and that the percentage of amino acid in the modified polymer is 0.5% to 25% by weight.

Regarding claim 31, Watanabe et al further discloses that the base material include any of the following: hydroxyethyl methacrylate, hydroxypropyl methacrylate, vinylpyrrolidone, or other acrylaminde derivatives (Column 9, lines 30-44).

Regarding claim 32, Watanabe et al further discloses that the base of the contact lens includes dimethylacrylamide (Column 20, lines 29-Column 21, line 3).

Regarding claim 33, Watanabe et al further discloses that the base material constitutes 53% to 99% by weight of the polymer (Column 8, lines 32-39).

Regarding claim 34, Watanabe et al further discloses that the refractive index of the contact lens is 1.22 to 1.51 (Columns 30-31, Tables 1 and 2, Examples 1-8).

Regarding claim 35, Watanabe et al further discloses that the contact lens, in a swollen state contains more than 50% by weight of water (Column 30-31, Tables 1 and 2, Examples 2-5, and 8).

Regarding claim 36, Watanabe et al further discloses that the contact lens, in a swollen state contains 55% to 60% of water (Column 31, Table 1, Example 5).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 37, Watanabe et al further discloses that the contact lens has an oxygen permeability Dk value of $> 8 \times 10^{-11}$ (Columns 30-31, Tables 1-3, Examples 1-8).

Regarding claims 38-40, Watanabe et al discloses a method of preparing a polymer material for a hydrogel contact lens comprising the steps of mixing at least one methacrylate monomer, and at least one monomer based on betaine (Column 20, line 29-Column 21, line 47) by using a cross-linking agent (Column 11, line 46-Column 12, line 13), but does not specifically disclose the step of mixing in at least one monomer based on an amino acid and that the monomers are polymerized with a starter. However, Friends et al discloses that amino acids are commonly used in polymeric compositions, such as being cross-linked with hydroxyethyl methacrylate (Column 2, lines 5-33 and Column 5, lines 4-20), which can be used as contact lenses, to increase the water content and oxygen permeability without significantly changing other properties of the polymer and that the polymerization is started by a free radical initiator, specifically peroxides or azo compounds (Column 5, lines 4-20), to cast the polymer into the desired shapes (Abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the hydrogel contact lens of Watanabe et al to be made of at least one monomer based on an amino acid and that the monomers would be polymerized with a starter since Friends et al discloses that amino acids are commonly used in polymeric compositions, such as being cross-linked with hydroxyethyl methacrylate, such as contact lenses to increase the water content and oxygen permeability without significantly changing other properties of the polymer and that the polymerization is started by a free radical initiator to cast the polymer into the desired shapes.

Regarding claim 41, Watanabe et al further discloses that the cross-linking agent is added in an amount of 0.01% to 3% by weight (Column 12, lines 8-13).

Regarding claim 42, Watanabe et al further discloses that the contact lens is polymerized individually as a cast lens with a polymerization time of less than one hour (Column 18, lines 43-49).

Regarding claim 43, Watanabe et al further discloses that the reaction starter is added in an amount of 0.2% to 0.5% by weight (Column 24, lines 14-26).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 28-30, and 44-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 26 and 47 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of the claimed combination of limitations to warrant a rejection under 35 USC 102 or 103.

Specifically in reference to claim 26, none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of a hydrogel contact lens as disclosed above specifically wherein the monomer modified with at least one amino acid is copolymerized with a main chain or a side chain of the base material of the contact lens or both.

Art Unit: 2873

Specifically in reference to claims 28-30, none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of a hydrogel contact lens as disclosed above specifically wherein the betaine is at least one of a sulfobetaine and a carboxybetaine chosen to form a block-free copolymer with the base material.

Specifically in reference to claims 44-45, none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of a method of making a polymer for a hydrogel contact lens as disclosed above specifically wherein the material initially is polymerized into a block-shape for approximately 1 to 3 days at a controlled temperature and the individual contact lenses are then machined out of the block material.

Specifically in reference to claims 46, none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of a method of making a polymer for a hydrogel contact lens as disclosed above specifically wherein the up to 20% glycerin is added for the polymerization step.

Specifically in reference to claims 47, none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of a method of making a polymer for a hydrogel contact lens as disclosed above wherein the percentage of monomers base on amino acids is 0.5% to 25% by weight, the percentage of methacrylate monomers is 99% to 53% by weight, and specifically wherein the percentage of monomers based on betaine is 0.5% to 22% by weight.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rodrigues et al and Aso et al are cited as being some similar structure to the claimed invention.

Jessa Ste

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica T Stultz whose telephone number is (703) 305-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Jessica Stultz April 1, 2003

> JORDAN SCHWARTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER